Coventry University and The University of Wisconsin Milwaukee Centre for By-products Utilization, Second International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies June 28 - June 30, 2010, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy. Main Proceedings ed. J Zachar, P Claisse, T R Naik, E Ganjian. ISBN 978-1-4507-1490-7 http://www.claisse.info/Proceedings.htm

Sustainability and Durability of Concrete Structures in Corrosive Environmental Conditions

A. Ganjidoost¹, M. Bakhsheshi Akhlaghi², M. Rahimi³, and H. G. Mosavy⁴

¹M.A.Sc. Student, Dept. of Building, Civil and Environmental Eng., Concordia Univ., 1455 De Maisonneuve Blvd. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1M8., E-mail: <a_ganj@encs.concordia.ca>, ² Alumni Student, Univ. of Mohaghegh Ardabili. Ardabil, Iran, E-mail: <mehdi.bakhsheshi@yahoo.com>, ³M.A.Sc. Student, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Eng., Amir kabir Univ. of Technology, Tehran, Iran., E-mail: <rahimi.m@aut.ac.ir> ⁴Assistant Professor, Univ. of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran., E-mail: <ghasemzadeh@uma.ac.ir>

ABSTRACT

Corrosion of steel bar reinforcement in cement mortars is the most important cause of destruction of concrete structures exposed to chloride ions in marine environments. Concrete mix design is one of the factors that can affect the chloride penetration into concrete and consequently the durability of concrete structures. This paper analyzed the effective parameters on the electrical resistivity of concrete in order to achieve sustainable and durable concrete mix design and consequently preventing of the reinforced concrete structures corrosion. This study evaluated various water/cement ratios from 0.3 to 0.5, Portland cement contents, silica fume ratio from 0.0 to 19% and different types of aggregate batching according to ASTM. The results showed that the water/cement ratio must not exceed 0.5, it was found that replacement of silica fume caused a significant increase in ERC, and also the type of aggregate size is an important factor to prevent permeability. Finally, this study found a sustainable concrete mix design which has the highest resistance to permeability.

INTRODUCTION

Steel bar reinforcement corrosion is the most important and the most common degradation mechanisms of concrete structures in marine environment. Steel corrosion in concrete is the major problem of different countries around the world. These problems in developing countries especially countries around the Persian Gulf are more important [Ghoddusi et al. 1998]. Corrosion process has a nature of electrochemical in concrete [Davison et al. 2008]. Corrosion in reinforcing areas occurs due to the flow of electrons between the cathode and anode [Daily]. In 1989 results of a study showed the corrosion of steel reinforced concrete has a close relation to the hydration process which is the result of chloride ion's penetration [Kraj et al.1989]. It is well known that pozzolanic materials deplete charge carriers in concrete and thus reduce conductivity [Claisse et al.2010]. Concrete mix design is one of the factors that can affect the chloride penetration into concrete is an index to determine permeability of concrete is an index to determine permeability

of chloride ions into concrete structures. This study analyzed the effective parameters on the electrical resistivity of concrete in order to achieve the highest resistance of concrete against penetration of chloride ions.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Mixture Properties

Portland cement type I and Silica fume (S.F) which are shown in Table 1 were used as cement paste. Crushed sand was used as a fine aggregate (F.A) as well as coarse aggregate (C.A). Also, super plasticizer based on poly carboxylic ether was used. In this study different type of aggregate sizes include A, B, C, D and E were used. The proportions of each type are tabulated in Table 2 to 6.

Table 1. Chemical Composition of Portland Cement and Silica Fume used in Concrete Specimens

| | SiO ₂ | Al ₂ O ₃ | Fe ₂ O ₃ | CaO | MgO | SO ₃ | K ₂ O | Na ₂ O | L.O.I |
|----------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Portland | 19.8% | 5.88% | 3.61% | 62.85% | 3.64% | 2.13% | - | - | 0.74 |
| cement | | | | | | | | | |
| Type I | | | | | | | | | |
| Silica | 90 | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | 2 | 0.08 | - | - | 0.9 |
| fume | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2. Aggregate Size of A with Maximum Size of 2.36 mm

| Mass remaining (%) | Remained (%) | Passed (%) | Sieve Size (mm) | Sieve Grade |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0 | 0 | 100 | 2.36 | 8 |
| 40 | 40 | 60 | 1.18 | 16 |
| 70 | 30 | 30 | 0.6 | 30 |
| 90 | 20 | 10 | 0.3 | 50 |
| 98 | 8 | 2 | 0.15 | 100 |
| | 2 | | | Remained |
| 2.88 | | | Softness modulus | |
| | | | | |

| Mass remaining | Remained (%) | Passed (%) | Sieve Size (mm) | Sieve |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|
| (%) | | | | Grade |
| 0 | 0 | 100 | 4.75 | 4 |
| 20 | 20 | 80 | 2.36 | 8 |
| 50 | 30 | 50 | 1.18 | 16 |
| 75 | 25 | 25 | 0.6 | 30 |
| 90 | 15 | 10 | 0.3 | 50 |
| 9 | 8 | 2 | 0.15 | 100 |
| | 2 | | | Remained |
| 3.33 | | Softness mo | dulus | |

| Mass remaining | Remained (%) | Passed (%) | Sieve Size | Sieve Grade |
|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| (%) | | | (mm) | |
| 0 | 0 | 100 | 4.75 | 4 |
| 10 | 40 | 80 | 2.36 | 8 |
| 30 | 20 | 70 | 1.18 | 16 |
| 65 | 35 | 35 | 0.6 | 30 |
| 75 | 10 | 25 | 0.3 | 50 |
| 95 | 20 | 5 | 0.15 | 100 |
| | 5 | | | Remained |
| 2.7 | 75 | | Softness modulu | 15 |

 Table 4. Aggregate Size of C for Fine Aggregate According to [ASTM-C33]

| Table 5. Aggregate S | Size of 1 | D for | Coarse | Aggregate | with | the | Maximum | Size | of |
|----------------------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|-----|---------|------|----|
| 19.05 mm | | | | | | | | | |

| Remained (%) | Passed (%) | Sieve Size (mm) | Sieve Grade |
|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 0 | 100 | 19.05 | |
| 50 | 50 | 9.52 | |
| 43 | 7 | 4.75 | 4 |
| 7 | 0 | 2.36 | 8 |
| 0 | 0 | 1.18 | 16 |

| Table 6. Aggregat | e Size | of E fo | or Coarse | Aggregate | with | the | Maximum | Size of | f |
|-------------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|-----|---------|---------|---|
| 9.52 mm | | | | | | | | | |

| Remained (%) | Passed (%) | Sieve Size (mm) | Sieve Grade |
|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 0 | 100 | 9.52 | |
| 75 | 25 | 4.75 | 4 |
| 18 | 7 | 2.36 | 8 |
| 7 | 0 | 1.18 | 16 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 30 |

Effective Parameters on Concrete Corrosion

This study analyzed the effective parameters on the electrical resistivity of concrete in order to achieve sustainable and durable concrete mix design and consequently preventing the corrosion of reinforced concrete structures. This study evaluated various water/cement ratio from 0.3 to 0.5, Portland cement contents, silica fume ratios from 0.0 to 19% and different types of aggregate size according to ASTM. In follow 4 effective analyzed parameters are categorized. Also, the detailed information regarding mixture proportion and properties of fresh concrete for 4 Groups of I, II, III and IV are shown in Tables; 6 to 9.

I - Water-Cement Ratio (w/c)

One of the results of having less water to cement ratio is reducing the water's absorption of concrete. Since the water's absorption of the concrete becomes less, the penetration of chloride ion and other corrosive agents into the concrete decreases and has a direct impact on the electrical resistivity of concrete. According to the existing standards, different water - cement ratios in this part of the study has been evaluated. These specimens after 24 hours were opened from the molds and put in water pool with a temperature of 22 degrees C. After 28 days, the electrical resistivity and compressive strength of concrete specimens were tested. Table 6 shows the mixture proportions and properties of fresh concrete for group I.

| ID | W (kg/m3) | Cement (kg/m3) | S.F(kg/m3) | (W+S.P)/(C+S.F) | F.A (kg/m3) | C.A (kg/m3) | S.P (kg/m3) | D(max) (mm) | Aggregates | Z (kohm) | E.R (kohm.cm) | F.C (N/mm2) | C+S.F (kg/m3) | 100*S.F/(C+S.F) |
|----|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 129 | 405 | 45 | 0.3 | 965 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 11.7 | 58.5 | 84.5 | 450 | 10 |
| 2 | 147 | 405 | 45 | 0.34 | 947 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 8.5 | 42.5 | 79.6 | 450 | 10 |
| 3 | 165 | 405 | 45 | 0.38 | 929 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 5 | 25 | 69.1 | 450 | 10 |
| 4 | 183 | 405 | 45 | 0.42 | 911 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 3.8 | 19 | 61.6 | 450 | 10 |
| 5 | 201 | 405 | 45 | 0.46 | 893 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 2.9 | 14.5 | 58 | 450 | 10 |
| 6 | 219 | 405 | 45 | 0.5 | 875 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 2.4 | 12 | 49.6 | 450 | 10 |

 Table 6. Mixture Proportion and Properties of Fresh Concrete for Group I

II - Cement Ratio

Any changes in the relative volume of cement paste, would affect electrical resistivity of concrete. Therefore in this part of the research different cement ratios have been evaluated and compared. These specimens after 24 hours were opened from the molds and put in water pool with a temperature of 22 degrees C. After 28 days, the electrical resistivity and compressive strength of concrete specimens were tested. Table 7 shows the mixture proportions and properties of fresh concrete for group II.

| ID | W (kg/m3) | Cement (kg/m3) | S.F(kg/m3) | (W+S.P)/(C+S.F) | F.A (kg/m3) | C.A (kg/m3) | S.P (kg/m3) | D(max) (mm) | Aggregates | Z (kohm) | E.R (kohm.cm) | F.C (N/mm2) | C+S.F (kg/m3) | 100*S.F/(C+S.F) |
|----|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 7 | 122 | 270 | 30 | 0.42 | 1124 | 850 | 4.5 | 9.5 | D+C | 3.9 | 19.5 | 36.4 | 300 | 10 |
| 8 | 142 | 315 | 35 | 0.42 | 1053 | 850 | 5.25 | 9.5 | D+C | 4.4 | 22 | 59.3 | 350 | 10 |
| 9 | 162 | 360 | 40 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 3.7 | 18.5 | 61.6 | 400 | 10 |
| 10 | 182 | 405 | 45 | 0.42 | 911 | 850 | 6.75 | 9.5 | D+C | 3.4 | 17 | 66.5 | 450 | 10 |
| 11 | 203 | 450 | 50 | 0.42 | 840 | 850 | 7.5 | 9.5 | D+C | 2.9 | 14.5 | 63.5 | 500 | 10 |
| 12 | 223 | 495 | 55 | 0.42 | 769 | 850 | 8.25 | 9.5 | D+C | 2.3 | 11.5 | 60.9 | 550 | 10 |

Table 7. Mixture Proportion and Properties of Fresh Concrete for Group II

III - Silica Fume Ratio

Grains of silica fume are smaller than cement's grains and act as filler that has an important effect on compressive strength of concrete. Therefore silica fume with different percentages can have various results on electrical resistivity of concrete. In order to observe the results of this impact, silica fume with various ratios were used in this part of study. These specimens after 24 hours were opened from the molds and put in water pool with a temperature of 22 degrees C. After 28 days, the electrical resistivity and compressive strength of concrete specimens were tested. Table 8 shows the mixture proportion and properties of fresh concrete for group III.

| ID | W (kg/m3) | Cement (kg/m3) | S.F(kg/m3) | (W+S.P)/(C+S.F) | F.A (kg/m3) | C.A (kg/m3) | S.P (kg/m3) | D(max) (mm) | Aggregates | Z (kohm) | E.R (kohm.cm) | F.C (N/mm2) | C+S.F (kg/m3) | 100*S.F/(C+S.F) |
|----|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 13 | 162 | 400 | 0 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 1.1 | 5.5 | 36 | 400 | 0 |
| 14 | 162 | 384 | 16 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 1.5 | 7.5 | 48.5 | 400 | 4 |
| 15 | 162 | 372 | 28 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 2.7 | 13.5 | 57.9 | 400 | 7 |
| 16 | 162 | 360 | 40 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 3.7 | 18.5 | 61.6 | 400 | 10 |
| 17 | 162 | 348 | 52 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 5.7 | 28.5 | 65 | 400 | 13 |
| 18 | 162 | 336 | 64 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 6.7 | 33.5 | 62.6 | 400 | 16 |
| 19 | 162 | 324 | 76 | 0.42 | 982 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 9 | 45 | 71.6 | 400 | 19 |

Table 8. Mixture Proportion and Properties of Fresh Concrete for Group III

IV- Aggregate Size (A.S)

One of the important parameters to increase the electrical resistivity of concrete is type of aggregate size. Therefore 4 different aggregate size and 3 different cement ratios (The ratio of cement paste used in 1 m^3); 400 kg/m3, 500 kg/m3 and 600 kg/m3 studied. These specimens after 24 hours were opened from the molds and put in water pool with a temperature of 22 degrees C. After 28 days, the electrical resistivity and compressive strength of concrete specimens were tested. Table 9 shows the mixture proportion and properties of fresh concrete for group IV.

| ID | Cement (kg/m3) | S.F (kg/m3) | W/C | F.A (kg/m3) | C.A (kg/m3) | S.P (kg/m3) | *D(max) (mm) | |
|----|-------------------|----------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1 | 360 | 40 | 0.4 | 715 | 1125 | 6 | 19.05 | E+C |
| 2 | 450 | 50 | 0.4 | 575 | 1125 | 7.5 | 19.05 | E+C |
| 3 | 540 | 60 | 0.4 | 435 | 1125 | 9 | 19.05 | E+C |
| 4 | 360 | 40 | 0.4 | 1003 | 837 | 6 | 9.52 | D+C |
| 5 | 450 | 50 | 0.4 | 863 | 837 | 7.5 | 9.52 | D+C |
| 6 | 540 | 60 | 0.4 | 723 | 837 | 9 | 9.52 | D+C |
| 7 | 360 | 40 | 0.4 | 1840 | 0 | 6 | 4.76 | В |
| 8 | 450 | 50 | 0.4 | 1700 | 0 | 7.5 | 4.76 | В |
| 9 | 540 | 60 | 0.4 | 1560 | 0 | 9 | 4.76 | В |
| 10 | 360 | 40 | 0.4 | 1840 | 0 | 6 | 2.38 | Α |
| 11 | 450 | 50 | 0.4 | 1700 | 0 | 7.5 | 2.38 | Α |
| 12 | 540 | 60 | 0.4 | 1560 | 0 | 9 | 2.38 | А |

Table 9. Mixture Proportion and Properties of Fresh Concrete with DifferentAggregate Size - Group IV

*The maximum size of aggregate.

Electrical resistance test method of concrete

A.C. impedance spectroscopy (ACIS) method was applied in this study [Xu et al.1993]. Specimens were placed into water with 22 degrees C to saturate. Tests were performed saturated specimens with dried surfaces. After coating the specimen surfaces with the fresh cement paste, the electrical resistance and then electrical resistivity were determined according to the equation1.

Equation 1: $\rho = Z * A / L$

where ρ is electrical resistivity (based on Ω -m), L is length of specimen in meters (distance between the two tested surfaces) and Z is the obtained electrical resistivity. Also, A is the area of the surface on which the test is performed (based on square meters). After determining ρ probability of corrosion can be expressed using Table 10 [ACI222].

| Chloride Ion Permeability | Surface Resistivity Test 28 day test kΩ–cm |
|------------------------------|--|
| Very High | < 5 |
| High | 5 - 10 |
| Low - Moderate | 10 - 20 |
| Low | > 20 |

Table 10. Permeability Based on Surface Resistivity

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the electrical resistivity of specimens are shown Figures 1 to 10. In group I, the highest E.R is for the lower water cement ratio (w/c=0.3) which means that increasing the water-cement ratio increases the permeability of concrete against chloride ion . In group II the best E.R was for cement paste of 350 kg/m3. In these specimens the w/c was fixed. In group III for specimens with different silica fume ratios also with fixed w/c, fine and coarse aggregate, the best result came from the specimens with higher silica fume (19%) and it is clear from Figure 3 that more than 10% silica fume in concrete give an electrical resistivity of more than 20 k Ω -cm and subsequently lower chloride lon permeability.



Fig.1. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Different W/C Ratios



Fig.2. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Different Cement Ratios



Fig.3. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Different Silica fume Ratios



Fig.4. Comparision of Electrical Resistivity and Compressive Strength of 19 Specimens of Group I, II and III

In Figure 4 the electrical resistivity and compressive strength of specimens in group I to III figured. As it is clear from the figures, the electrical resistivity and compressive strength have a mutual relation. An increase in one of them associated with the increase in the other. Figures 5 to 7 shows the electrical resistivity of concrete with different aggregate size. The specimen with aggregate size of 19.05 mm and cement ratio of 500 kg/m3 (when compared to Figure 8) has the highest impermeability to chloride ions into concrete.



Fig.5. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Aggregate Size of 19.05mm



Fig.6. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Aggregate Size of 9.53mm



Fig.7. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens, Aggregate Size of 4.76mm



Fig.8. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Aggregate Size of 2.38mm



Fig.9. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Different Type of Aggregate Sizes

Figure 10 compares the electrical resistivity of concrete specimens with different aggregate size and cement paste. The better specimens to resist against corrosion of concrete are specimens with aggregate size of 19.05mm. In this study one of the best concrete mix designs which has the highest resistance to chloride ions into the concrete specimens is specimen of group I with characterization of table 11.

Table 11. Mixture Proportion and Properties of the Specimen with Highest E.R

| ID | W (kg/m3) | Cement (kg/m3) | S.F(kg/m3) | (W+S.P)/(C +S.F) | F.A (kg/m3) | C.A (kg/m3) | S.P (kg/m3) | D(max) (mm) | Aggregates | Z (kohm) | E.R (kohm.cm) | Fc (N/mm2) | C+S.F (kg/m3) | 100*S.F/(C+ S.F) |
|----|-----------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|------------------|------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 129 | 405 | 45 | 0.3 | 965 | 850 | 6 | 9.5 | D+C | 11.7 | 58.5 | 84.5 | 450 | 10 |



Fig.10. Comparison of Electrical Resistivity of Concrete Specimens with Different Aggregate Size and Cement Paste

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study are shown in the following conclusions:

- To design a concrete in order to resist against corrosion in corrosive environments, the water-cement ratio should not exceed 0.5.
- Partial cement replacement by silica fume as an alternative increases the electrical resistivity of concrete. As a result of this study, to reach low permeability of chloride ion to concrete the ratio of silica fume must be more than 10%.
- In general, an increase in electrical resistivity of concrete was associated with an increase in compressive strength of concrete; therefore, the fact that most of the mechanical properties of concrete such as tensile strength and etc. are in proportion to with compressive strength concludes that improving electrical resistivity of concrete will result in a concrete which has improved mechanical properties.
- The aggregate size can have a large influence on the electrical resistivity of concrete, therefore it is recommended especially in large projects, that several types of aggregate size according to the mix design be used and the best one selected.

• In this study sustainable concrete mix design which has the highest resistance to permeability is selected. This mix design has the lowest w/c of 0.3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writers would like to acknowledge the Concrete Technology laboratory of the University of Mohaghegh Ardebili, Iran for all of their supports.

REFERENCES

- ACI Committee 222 (2001), "Protection of Metals in Concrete Against Corrosion", ACI 222R-01, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, Michigan, 41 pages..
- ASTMC150. "Standard Specification for Portland Cement." Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Vol. 04.01. West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM Standard C33(2003), "Specification for Concrete Aggregates." ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2003, DOI: 10.1520/C0033-03.
- Claisse, Peter A., Elsayad, Hanaa I. and Ganjian, Esmaiel "Modelling the rapid chloride permeability test." *Cement and Concrete Research*, Volume 40, Issue 3, March 2010, Pages 405-409
- Davison, N., Glass, G., and Adrian, R. (2008) "Hybrid Electrochemical Treatment Applied To Corrosion Damaged Concrete Structures." *Presented at the Transportation Research Board*, 87th Annual Meeting, Washington DC.
- Ghoddousi, P., Ganjian, E., Parhizkar, T., and Ramezanianpour, A. A. (1998). "Concrete Technology In The Environmental Conditions Of Persian Gulf." BHRC Publication, Iran.
- Kraj, j., Komlo, K., and Frfalov, D. (1989) "Chloride corrosion of steel fiber reinforcement in cement mortar." *The international Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete*, Volume 11, Number 4.
- Steven F. Daily "Understanding Corrosion and Cathodic Protection of Reinforced Concrete Structures" Corrpro Companies, Incorporated, 1055 West Smith Road, Medina, Ohio 44256, < http://www.corrpro.com>
- Xu, Z., Gu, P., Xie, P. and Beaudoin, J.J., "Application of A.C. impedance techniques in studies of porous cementitious materials, Part (I)," Cem. Concr. Res., V. 23, 1993, pp. 531-540
- Xu, Z., Gu, P., Xie, P. and Beaudoin, J.J., "Application of A.C. impedance techniques in studies of porous cementitious materials, Part (II)," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, V. 23, 1993, pp. 853-862